Date: Fri, 3 Jun 94 04:30:36 PDT

From: Ham-Space Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-space@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Ham-Space-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Ham-Space@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: Bulk

Subject: Ham-Space Digest V94 #144

To: Ham-Space

Ham-Space Digest Fri, 3 Jun 94 Volume 94 : Issue 144

Today's Topics:

LUSAT-1 (LO-19): Actual status Need NORAD elements !!! OSCAR ANTENNAS Oscar antennas? (2 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Space@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Space-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Space Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-space".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 2 Jun 94 21:09:28 GMT From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu

Subject: LUSAT-1 (LO-19): Actual status

To: ham-space@ucsd.edu

Official LUSAT-1 Status Report

On june 1st 1994, during the 22739th orbit, the CW beacon was turned ON= . This

operation was done by the control station (Norberto Pennini, LU8DYF) and the

station encharged of the CW beacon (Rub=82n Ferreiro, LU6DYD).

Norberto (LU8DYF), turned OFF the "B" transmitter (437.125 MHz) and turned ON

the "A" transmitter (437.150 MHz). While this procedure took place, Rub= =82n

captured some telemetry. When Norberto swapped the transmitters, Rub=82= n started

to send the PN sequence, encharged to turn ON the CW beacon. After more= than 9

months, the CW beacon was started again.

On june 2nd 1994, during the 22754th orbit, the CW beacon was turned OF= F. This

operation was done by Norberto and Rub=82n.

Rub=82n turned OFF the CW beacon and Norberto swapped again the two tra=nsmitters.

Once again, the CW beacon is OFF and the "B" Raised Cosine PSK transmit=

(437.125 MHz) is ON.

We encourage those stations that have telemetry reports for this period= to send

them to us for analisys purposes.

Overseas stations: If you capture any LUSAT-1 telemetry and send it in = raw-mode

to the satellite control station, you'll receive a certificate for your collaboration. These telemetry reports can be sent either via packet radio to $\frac{1}{2}$

LU8DYF@LU8DYF.BA.ARG.SOAM and LU8DYF@ANY-ACTIVE-SATELLITE, or via Internet to:

lu8dyf@asarin.org.ar

If you intend to use the satellite, please remember that the BBS is NOT= LOADED

and it will NOT be operational for the next 2 weeks (approx.).

The LUSAT-1 recovery team want to thanks all the stations that sent LUS= AT-1

telemetry data in RAW format.

The overseas stations that are going to receive the Special Award are:

- 1) Mr. Norimasa Okamoto (JN2LHU) from Gamagori city, Japan.
- 2) Mr. Bent Bagger (OZ6BL+OZ7SAT) from Denmark.

73's de Eduardo Sweet, LU7AKC (LUSAT-1 recovery team)

packet: lu7akc@lu7akc.#col.cf.arg.soam

e-mail: lu7akc@asarin.org.ar

Date: 3 Jun 94 21:32:41 GMT From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu Subject: Need NORAD elements !!!

To: ham-space@ucsd.edu

Hi!

I need the latest NORAD Keplerian elements and I don't know where I can get them. If you know where, please forward me that information. Also, if you have an "almost-new" NORAD set, please send it to me.

Thankyou in advance & 73's de Eduardo Sweet Biro (LUSAT-1 recovery team)

E-Mail: lu7akc@asarin.org.ar

Packet: lu7akc@lu7akc.#col.cf.arg.soam

Date: 2 Jun 94 13:09:43 -500

From: butch!rapnet.sanders.lockheed.com!rapnet.sanders.lockheed.com!

ledlow@uunet.uu.net
Subject: OSCAR ANTENNAS
To: ham-space@ucsd.edu

To follow up what I said yesterday about M^2 antennas and my problem with SWR on my new Mode B setup:

> ... Also, I have an SWR problem, which I *THINK* is
> related to some inherited Belden 9913 and not the antennas...

It was, in fact, the cable. I replaced the feeds with brand new 9913 equivalent, and the SWR problem went away. Well, I did have to tweak the position of the shorting blocks on the 2m array for best SWR, but that was my assembly error, not the antenna.

> 73 de Larry/NA5E AMSAT #10356

Date: 2 Jun 94 13:19:57 -500

From: butch!rapnet.sanders.lockheed.com!rapnet.sanders.lockheed.com!

ledlow@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Oscar antennas?
To: ham-space@ucsd.edu

In article <2sirer\$gpv@pipeline.com>,
blaknite@pipeline.com (Noah Lehmann-Haupt) writes:

- > I was thinking about getting Cushcrafts AOP bundle oscar
- > antennas. It seems like a good deal at \$250. Can anyone give

> me some ideas about this? Thanks...

Just my opinion...save your money and buy a better quality antenna like KLM or M^2. I can almost guarantee you won't be happy with the Cushcraft and end up buying something else anyway. The satellite antennas are not their best product by any means, and besides so-so performance, they may blow apart in the first good wind storm.

73 de Larry/NA5E
> blaknite@pipeline.co

Date: Thu, 2 Jun 1994 14:53:10 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!emory!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: Oscar antennas? To: ham-space@ucsd.edu

In article <2sirer\$gpv@pipeline.com> blaknite@pipeline.com (Noah Lehmann-Haupt)
writes:

>I was thinking about getting Cushcrafts AOP bundle oscar >antennas. It seems like a good deal at \$250. Can anyone give >me some ideas about this? Thanks...

I started out with this setup, and I don't recomend it. The two antennas don't point to the same boresight (patterns aren't symmetric with the booms), and SWR rises significantly when they are wet, even from heavy dew. I made a bunch of contacts using this setup, but switching to KLM antennas made a world of difference. I'm using the 22C and 40CX now, and am much happier.

Gary

- -

Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it, | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems | we break it. | uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way | Guaranteed! | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244 |

End of Ham-Space Digest V94 #144 ***********